

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

TUESDAY, THE 6TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 15TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 32788 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

-
1. MANAGER,
GRACY MEMORIAL HIGH SCHOOL, PARATHODE,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.
 2. P.S. INDU,
H.S.A. (ENGLISH), G.M. HIGH SCHOOL,
PARATHODE, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.
 3. P.S. ARCHANA,
H.S.A. (MALAYALAM), G.M.HIGH SCHOOL,
PARATHODE, KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.
 4. SUBY GOPINATH,
U.P.S.A., G.M. HIGH SCHOOL, PARATHODE,
KOTTAYAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.KRB.KAIMAL (SR.)

RESPONDENTS:

-
1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
 2. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
 3. DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, KOTTAYAM.
 4. DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
KANJIRAPPALLY.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. A.J. VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 06/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.P.(C)No. 32788 OF 2007

Dated this the 6th day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

The first petitioner is the manager of the Gracy Memorial High School, Parathode. As per Ext.P1 staff fixation order, two additional posts of HSAs were sanctioned. Those posts were filled up, one of which was by promotion and in the consequent vacancy of UPSA another person was appointed. Petitioners 2 to 4 are the persons also so appointed. These appointments have not been approved by respondents 3 and 4 on the ground that prior approval of the Government was not obtained for making such appointment as required in the Government order applicable. Against the same, the first petitioner has filed Ext.P11 revision petition. The petitioners seek expeditious disposal of Ext.P11 revision petition.

2. I have heard the learned Government pleader also. Ex.P11 is dated 29.4.2007. As such, it is only appropriate that the 1st respondent disposes of the same expeditiously. This shall be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of

a copy of this judgment after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioners.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE

Acd